

সিকিউরিটিজ কমিশন ভবন, ই-৬/সি আগারগাঁও, শের-ই-বাংলা নগর প্রশাসনিক এলাকা, ঢাকা-১২০৭, বাংলাদেশ।

নং বিএসইসি/এনফোর্সমেন্ট/২৪২৮/২০১৭/ ৫৮-৫

তারিখঃ > ৭ নভেম্বর, ২০১৯ ইং

আদেশ

যেহেতু, Securities and Exchange Ordinance, 1969 (Ordinance No. XVII of 1969) এর section 2(g) মোতাবেক জেনারেশন নেক্সট ফ্যাশনস লিমিটেড 'issuer' হিসাবে অভিহিত (অতঃপর 'ইস্যুয়ার' বলে উল্লিখিত);

মেহেছু, For the interest of general investors/public M/s. A. Qasem & Co., Chartered Accountants was appointed as special auditors' for auditing of financial statements of Generation Next Fashions Limited (GNFL) for the years ended on December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2015 and also special audit of utilization of rights issue proceeds along with physical verification.

Whereas, the special auditors- M/s. A. Qasem & Co., Chartered Accountants has submitted their special audit report along with a summary statement on special audit report.

Summary of special audit report on Generation Next Fashions Limited (GNFL) for the years ended on December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2015 and also special audit of utilization of rights issue proceeds along with physical verification submitted by M/s. A. Qasem & Co., Chartered Accountants are as follows:

Observation 1: Property, Plant and Equipment were kept under Capital Work in Progress (CWIP) for long period and were not capitalized.

During the course of our audit, we have noted that some line items under property, plant and equipment were presented in the financial statement as Capital Work in Progress since 2012 for which no movements in the carrying value since 2012 to 2015. While verifying those assets physically and verifying the underlying facts, we have noted that those assets were being used in operation during the period of special audit. Subsequently these assets were capitalized during the year 2016 without any further cost. It can be assumed that these assets were completed at that point of time. According to accounting principles, these assets should be capitalized in the financial statements and be depreciated from 2012.

If these assets were being capitalized and charged depreciation in the financial statements accordingly, net profit and corresponding EPS should have been negatively affected for the particular years. Details are shown below.

| Particulars | 2015 | 2014 |
|--|--------------|--------------|
| Net profit after tax (As per audited financial statements) | 340,042,273 | 497,770,969 |
| Less: Depreciation on capital work in progress (not charged in FS) | (15,088,611) | (15,635,460) |
| Adjusted net profit after charging depreciation | 32,49,53,662 | 48,21,35,509 |
| Weighted average number of share outstanding | 371,881,710 | 283,006,440 |
| Basic EPS as per audited financial statements | 0.91 | 1.76 |
| Basic EPS after charging depreciation on CWIP | 0.87 | 0.17 |
| EPS from recurring income as per audited financial statements | 0.78 | 1.63 |
| EPS from recurring income after charging depreciation on | 0.74 | 1.57 |

Nation



সিকিউরিটিজ কমিশন ভবন, ই-৬/সি আগারগাঁও, শের-ই-বাংলা নগর প্রশাসনিক এলাকা, ঢাকা-১২০৭, বাংলাদেশ।

Implication and CFD's Comments:

- Noncompliance with the provision of para 7 and 55 of Bangladesh Accounting Standard (BAS) 16: Property, Plant and Equipment.
- Depreciation expenses were understated and Net Profits were overstated by 15,088,611 in 2015 and 15,635,460 in 2014.
- Earnings Per Share (EPS) were overstated.

Observation 2: Inadequate disclosure regarding Capital Work in Progress (CWIP) presented in financial statements.

While checking the balances in the audited financial statements, we have noted that huge amount of CWIP are remained unchanged in the financial statements from the year 2012 to 2015. For justifying the balances of CWIP, we have checked the source documents, collected background information and finally visited the factory premises for two times. We have found that the building under construction is a 4 storied factory building of 40,000 square feet per floor, started construction works on December, 2011. As per engineer's certification (third party confirmation) ground floor and 1st floor were completed and subsequently transferred to PPE (Building account), depreciation were charged on additional amount as per companies prescribed rate @ 2%. 2nd, 3rd and 4th floor's construction works were at final stage and waiting for engineer's certification. As a huge amount of Capital Work in Progress (CWIP) is remained unchanged in the financial statements for a longer period without transferring to Fixed Assets, the management of the company should disclose the fact and reason for delay in completion of the work in detail for the users of the financial statements for their better understanding.

Details of the movement of CWIP are presented below:

| Year | Opening Balance | Addition | Total | Transfer red to Fixed Assets | Balance | Remarks |
|------|--------------------|--------------|----------------|---------------------------------------|----------------|---|
| 2013 | 95,13,64,652 | 33,25,98,012 | 1,28,39,62,664 | 29,71,58,260 | 98,68,04,404 | Civil construction of ground floor of four storied building completed on May 2013 and was ready for production |
| 2014 | 98,68,04,404 | 14,57,65,933 | 1,13,25,70,337 | 7,92,84,652 | 1,05,32,85,685 | 1st Floor completed on February 2014 and was partially ready for production |
| 2015 | 1,05,32,85,685 | 11,01,13,188 | 1,16,33,98,873 | 8,02,95,870 | 1,08,31,03,003 | 1 st Floor was fully completed on April 2014. Construction works of 2 nd and 3 rd floor was under process with retrofitting works. |

Implication and CFD's Comments:

- Non-compliance with para 7, 15 & 16 of Bangladesh Accounting Standard 1: Presentation of Financial Statements.
- Investors may be misguided to take prudent investment decision.
- Non-compliance with Rule12 (2) of the Security & Exchange Rules, 1987.
- Hinder for 'Fair Presentation' of GNF's financial statements as required by IAS-1.

more



সিকিউরিটিজ কমিশন ভবন, ই-৬/সি আগারগাঁও, শের-ই-বাংলা নগর প্রশাসনিক এলাকা, ঢাকা-১২০৭, বাংলাদেশ।

Observation 3: Right share issue proceeds were not utilized within the specified period.

While performing audit on GNF's right fund utilization it was noted by us that the whole proceeds of the fund utilization was not performed within the stipulated time mentioned in the RoD. As the Right Share Issue Proceeds received were by Bank on 20 April 2014, the whole proceeds must be used within 1 year time period as per the provisions of ROD. The Table below shows the status of the utilization of right issue proceeds within the stipulated time period:

| Designated project work | Details cost item | Amount in detail BDT | Total BDT | Projected date of completion | Utilised within the time period for BMRE BDT | Unutilised within the time period for BMRE BDT | % of Unutilized amoun |
|--|---|----------------------------|--------------|---|--|--|--------------------------|
| Building & Civil construction | Building & Other Civil Construction (35000 sft 3+ 28500 sft 3)=146,100 sft @ Tk. 2053 approx) | 30,00,00,000 | 30,00,00,000 | Within 01 year of receipt of Right Issue fund | 10,90,19,302 | 19,09,80,698 | 64% |
| Imported Machinery | Dyeing machines (Brand new) 7 nos | 10,00,00,000 | 30,50,00,000 | Within 01 year of receipt of Right Issue fund | 75,63,969 | 29,74,36,031 | 98% |
| | Circular Knitting Machines (Brand new) 11 nos. | 10,50,00,000 | | | | | |
| | Flat Knit Machine (Brand new) 14 nos. | 82,00,000 | | | | | |
| | Gas generator 1020 KW | 2,81,00,000 | | | | | |
| | Boiler | 1,00,00,000 | | | | | |
| | Central Air Conditioner | 57,00,000 | | | | | |
| | Lift 3 nos. | 1,00,00,000 | | | | | |
| | Sewing Machine | 2,80,00,000 | | | | | |
| | Contingencies | 50,00,000 | | - | | | |
| | Sub-total | 30,00,00,000 | | | - | | |
| Machinery Installation | | 50,00,000 | | | | | a recit |
| Office Equipment & Other Assets | Details NA | | 1,00,00,000 | Within 01 year of receipt of Right Issue fund | | 1,00,00,000 | 100% |
| Vehicles | Details NA | | 2,50,00,000 | Within 01 year of receipt of Right Issue fund | 10,00,000 | 2,40,00,000 | 96% |
| Repayment | Term Loan | 25,08,13,042 | 150 | Within 01 | · · | | 06% |
| of Loan | Over Draft | 4,70,80,863 | | Month of | 43,30,67,559 | 2,67,16,441 | |

Me



বাংলাদেশ সিকিউরিটিজ অ্যান্ড এক্সচেঞ্জ কমিশন সিকিউরিটিজ কমিশন ভবন, ই-৬/সি আগারগাঁও, শের-ই-বাংলা নগর প্রশাসনিক এলাকা, ঢাকা-১২০৭, বাংলাদেশ।

| | Time Loan | 16,18,90,095 | | receipt of | | | |
|-------------------------|------------|--------------|--------------------|---|--------------|--------------|-----|
| | Sub- | total | 45,97,84,000 | Right Issue fund | | | |
| Rights Issue expense | Details NA | | 2,50,00,000 | Within 04 Months of receipt of Right Issue fund | 1,48,62,126 | 1,01,37,874 | 41% |
| Total | | | 1,12,47,84,0 00 | | 56,55,12,956 | 55,92,71,044 | 50% |

Utilization status of Right Issue Proceeds as of December 31, 2016

| Designated project work | Details cost item | Amount in detail | Total BDT | Projected date of completion | Utilized up to December 31, 2016 | Unutilized up to December 31, 2016 | % of Unutilized amount up to December 31, 2016 |
|-------------------------------|---|---------------------|---|------------------------------------|---|---|---|
| Civil construction | Building & Other Civil Construction (35000 sft 3+ 28500 sft 3)=146,100 sft @ Tk. 2053 approx) | 30,00,00,000 | 30,00,00,000 | 19-Apr-15 | 30,03,85,054 | (3,85,054) | 0% |
| Imported Machinery | Dyeing machines (Brand new) 7 nos | 10,00,00,000 | 30,00,00,000 | 19-Apr-15 | 7,79,75,075 | 22,20,24,92 5 | 74% |
| | Circular Knitting Machines (Brand new) 11 nos. | 10,50,00,000 | | | | | |
| | Flat Knit Machine (Brand new) 14 nos. | 82,00,000 | | | | | |
| | Gas generator 1020 KW | 2,81,00,000 | | | | | |
| | Boiler | 1,00,00,000 | | | 1 1 | | |
| | Central Air Conditioner | 57,00,000 | | | | | |
| | Lift 3 nos. | 1,00,00,000 | | | | | |
| | Sewing Machine | 2,80,00,000 | | | | | |
| | Contingencies | 50,00,000 | | | | | - |
| | Sub-total | 30,00,00,000 | | | | | |
| Machinery Inst | allation | 50,00,000 | 50,00,000 | 19 April 2015 | 18,80,696 | 31,19,304 | 62% |
| Office Equipme | ent & Other Assets | | 1,00,00,000 | 19-Apr-15 | 2,46,000 | 97,54,000 | 98% |
| Vehicles | | | 2,50,00,000 | 19-Apr-15 | 2,31,55,250 | 18,44,750 | 7% |
| Repayment o | f Term Loan | 25,08,13,042 | 45,97,84,000 | 19-May-15 | 43,30,67,559 | 2,67,16,441 | 6% |
| Loan | Over Draft | 4,70,80,863 | | | | | |
| | Time Loan | 16,18,90,095 | | | | | |
| | Sub- total | 45,97,84,000 | = | | | | |
| Rights Iss | ue expense | | 2,50,00,000 | 19-Aug-15 | 1,65,26,111 | 84,73,889 | 34% |
| Total | | | 1,12,47,84,0 00 | | 853,235,745 | 271,548,255 | 24% |



সিকিউরিটিজ কমিশন ভবন, ই-৬/সি আগারগাঁও, শের-ই-বাংলা নগর প্রশাসনিক এলাকা, ঢাকা-১২০৭, বাংলাদেশ।

Implication and CFD's Comments:

- Non-compliance with Right Share Offer Document (ROD), Rule-8(k) of Implementation Schedule.
- Huge idle fund in Bank as a Fixed Deposit Receipts (FDR) which implies delay in utilization of public money.

Observation 4: Anomalies in right share issue expenses.

We have noted some documentation lapses wherein sufficient supporting documents were not found with vouchers in relation to the right issue expenses which are cited below:

| Payment Date | Particulars | Name of the beneficiary | Amount in BDT. | Remarks |
|-------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------|--|
| January 20 , 2014 | Registration expense | Mr. Shamsur Rahman | 15,285 | Conveyance treated as registration expenses. No TA/DA policy |
| February 12, 2014 | Right issue management fees | ICB Capital Management Ltd. | 500,000 | Sufficient documents not found such as invoice, bill, money receipt etc. |
| February 27, 2014 | Right share expense | Adobe Printing & Packaging | 100,000 | Significant amount of cash transaction. No quotation, comparative analysis, work order, voucher, etc., are not made available except money receipt. Revenue stamp not affixed. |
| March 05, 2014 | Right share expense | Adobe Printing & Packaging | 100,000 | Significant amount of cash transaction. No quotation, comparative analysis, work order, voucher, etc., are not made available except money receipt. Revenue stamp not affixed. |
| March 20 , 2014 | Right share expense | Adobe Printing & Packaging | 100,000 | Significant amount of cash transaction. No quotation, comparative analysis, work order, voucher, etc., are not made available except money receipt. Revenue stamp not affixed. |
| April 29 , 2014 | Professional fees | Mr. Shahabul Alam | 700,000 | Significant amount of cash transaction. No detailed supporting document was found except cash cheque copy. Revenue stamp not affixed. |
| June 04 , 2014 | Right share expense | Satcom IT Ltd. | 650,000 | No quotation, comparative analysis, work order, voucher, etc., are not made available except money receipt. Revenue stamp not affixed. |
| June 10 , 2014 | Right share issue expense | Alpha Capital Management Ltd. | 450,000 | No quotation, comparative analysis, work order, voucher, etc., are not made available except money receipt. Revenue stamp not affixed. |
| June 10 , 2014 | Right share issue expense | Banco Finance & Investment Ltd. | 1,350,000 | No quotation, comparative analysis, work order, voucher, etc., are not made available except money receipt. Revenue stamp not affixed. |
| June 10, 2014 | Right share issue expense | AFC Capital Ltd. | 675,000 | No documents made available except debit voucher. |



সিকিউরিটিজ কমিশন ভবন, ই-৬/সি আগারগাঁও, শের-ই-বাংলা নগর প্রশাসনিক এলাকা, ঢাকা-১২০৭, বাংলাদেশ।

| Payment Date | Particulars | Name of the beneficiary | Amount in BDT. | Remarks |
|---------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------|--|
| June 10, 2014 | Right share issue expense | Prime Finance Capital Ltd. | 450,000 | No documents made available except debit voucher. |
| June 10, 2014 | Not Available | Not Available | 617,727 | No documents made available. |
| June 11, 2014 | Right share issue expense | PLFS Investment Limited | 675,000 | No documents made available except debit voucher. |
| June 11, 2014 | Right share issue expense | ICB Capital Management Ltd. | 786,528 | No documents made available except debit voucher. |
| June 12, 2014 | Not Available | Not Available | 675,000 | No documents made available. |
| | | | | |

Implication and CFD's Comments:

- Indicates weakness in internal control system.
- May create scope for financial irregularities and misappropriation.
- Reduce the credibility and reliability of the financial information.
- Implies poor stewardship of management over company's operation.

Observation 5: No provision made for right share issue expense.

We have noted that Right Share Issue Proceeds were received by Bank on 20 April 2014. Some of the expenditure incurred before the receipt of Right Share Issue fund. The payments made at various date but no accounting entry was made to the books of accounts at that time. Later on after receiving Right Issue Proceeds, they have accounted for the transactions. Our Instances are as follows:

| SL. No. | No. Payment date Particulars Name of the beneficiary | | Name of the beneficiary | Amount |
|---------|--|--------------------------|---|-----------|
| 01. | January 20 , 2014 | Registration expense | Mr. Shamsur Rahman | 15,285 |
| 02. | February 06 , 2014 | Listing fees | Listing fees Bangladesh Securities & Exchange Commission (BSEC) | |
| 03. | February 12, 2014 | Right issue manages fees | ICB Capital Management Ltd. | 500,000 |
| 04. | February 25 , 2014 | Service charge | Central Depository Bangladesh Limited (CDBL) | 219,903 |
| 05. | February 27, 2014 | Right share expense | Adobe Printing & Packaging | 100,000 |
| 06. | March 05, 2014 | Right share expense | Adobe Printing & Packaging | 100,000 |
| 07. | March 20, 2014 | Right share expense | Adobe Printing & Packaging | 100,000 |
| | | Total | • | 2,722,594 |

Implications and CFD's Comments:

- Accrual/ Matching concept of accounting was not followed.
- Reduce the reliability of the financial information.
- Questionable recording process of transaction.
- Non-compliance with para 27 of Bangladesh Accounting Standard 1: Presentation of Financial statements.

Observation 6: Inconsistent accounting policies applied in case of amortization of right share issue expenses.

As disclosed in note # 18 of financial statements for the year 2014, right share issue expenses amounted to BDT 3,305,222 were amortized (written off in the statement of comprehensive income) @ 20%(which is to be amortized 1/5th in each year over the five years period). However, in 2015

None

ফোন (পিএবিএক্স): +৮৮-০২-৫৫০০৭১৩১-২, ফ্যাক্স: +৮৮-০২-৫৫০০৭১০৬, ই-মেইল: see hal militarial net ওয়েব: www.see you hal



সিকিউরিটিজ কমিশন ভবন, ই-৬/সি আগারগাও, শের-ই-বাংলা নগর প্রশাসনিক এলাকা, ঢাকা-১২০৭, বাংলাদেশ।

entire remaining 80% of Right Issue Expensed were adjusted against share premium. In the year 2014, the amount were amortized and charged into the statement of comprehensive income and in 2015 the remaining amount were adjusted against share premium.

Details are presented in the below table:

| As per audited financial statements | December 31, 2014 | December 31, 2015 |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| Right issue expenses during the year | 16,526,111 | |
| Less: Amortized during the year @ 20% | (3,305,222) | |
| , | 13,220,889 | |
| Balance | | 13,220,889 |
| Less: Adjustment with share premium | | 13,220,889 |

Implication and CFD's Comments:

 Non-compliance of the para 13 of Bangladesh Accounting Standard 8: Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors.

Observation 7: Unauthorized items under machineries were purchased by using right share proceeds.

We have noted that an instrument named Close Circuit Television Camera (CCTV) amounted to BDT. 400,000 were purchased by GNF which was not included in the Right Share Offer Document (ROD). Details are given below:

| Date | Particular | Amount in BDT. |
|--------------------|------------------------------------|----------------|
| September 21, 2014 | CCTV Camera (SAFE LIFE TECHNOLOGY) | 200,000 |
| November 16, 2014 | CCTV Camera (SAFE LIFE TECHNOLOGY) | 200,000 |
| | Total | 400,000 |

Implications and CFD's Comments:

- Non-compliance of Rights Share Offer Documents (ROD) Condition Requirement 18 of approval letter for issuance of Right Shares from BSEC ref. no. BSEC/CI/RI-90/2013/93 dated February 04, 2014.
- Implies improper concern of utilizing Right Issue fund.

Observation 8: Non-compliance of Order No. SEC/CMRRCD/2009-193/104/Admin/26, dated: July 27, 2011 regarding audit of financial statements of any issuer company by the same audit firm.

During our audit, we have noted that Generation Next Fashions Limited appointed M/S. ATA KHAN & CO., Chartered Accountants as its statutory auditors for conducting the audit of financial statements for more than three years, started from 2012 to 2016. This is a non-compliance of the above mentioned order.

Details are given below:

| SL. | Financial Year under | Engaged Firm | Signing Date |
|-----|-------------------------|----------------|-------------------|
| 1. | As of December 31, 2012 | ATA KHAN & CO. | February 24, 2013 |
| 2. | As of December 31, 2013 | ATA KHAN & CO. | April 29, 2014 |
| 3. | As of December 31, 2014 | ATA KHAN & CO. | April 27, 2015 |
| 4. | As of December 31, 2015 | ATA KHAN & CO. | April 28, 2016 |
| 5. | As of June 30, 2016 | ATA KHAN & CO. | November 28, 2016 |

nover



সিকিউরিটিজ কমিশন ভবন, ই-৬/সি আগারগাঁও, শের-ই-বাংলা নগর প্রশাসনিক এলাকা, ঢাকা-১২০৭, বাংলাদেশ।

Implications and CFD's Comments:

 Non-compliance with BSEC Order No. SEC/CMRRCD/2009-193/104/Admin/26 dated, July 27, 2011.

Observation 9: Noncompliance of Securities and Exchange Commission Notification No. SEC/CMRRCD/2006-158/134/Admin/44 dated: August 7, 2012 regarding appointment of Independent Directors and Head of Internal Audit.

During the course of our audit we have noted that the position of Head of Internal Audit was vacant from the beginning of incorporation of the company and no appointments were made for any period. It was also noted that current Board of Directors (BoD) of Generation Next Fashions Limited (GNFL) contains 2 (two) independent directors among them 1 (one) Independent Director holding the office form more than 2 (two) terms. Details are tabled below:

| Name of the post | Name of person Holding the Office | Joining date | Remarks |
|------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------|--|
| Head of Internal Audit | Vacant | N/A | The post remained vacant from the beginning of |
| Independent Directors | Mr. Md Artiar Rahaman | April 01, 2009 | Holding the Office as an Independent Director for 7 years 10 months 14 days as |

Implications and CFD's Comments:

 Non-compliance of SEC Notification No. SEC/CMRRCD/2006-158/134/Admin/44 dated, 7 August 2012.

Observation 10: No policy was maintained to prevent insider trading.

During our course of review, we found GNFL has developed no policy to prevent Insider Trading to be happened.

Implications and CFD's Comments:

- Weakness control over the prevention of insider trading.
- Non-compliance with rule-5 of Securities and Exchange Commission (Prohibition of Insider Trading) Regulation, 1995.

Observation 11: Diluted EPS was disclosed despite of not having any dilution factor as per Bangladesh Accounting Standard 33: Earning Per Share.

While auditing the GNFL's financial statements for the year ended 2014 and 2015, we have found that diluted earnings per share were calculated and presented in the financial statements. As per our observation, there were no such factors found which might create the basis for calculating and presenting diluted earnings per share as there was no conversion, option and warrant exercise during the financial periods.

Implications and CFD's Comments:

- Non-compliance with para 31 of Bangladesh Accounting Standard 33:
- The user of the financial statement were misguided due to such wrong and unnecessary disclosure especially in case of financial decision making based on the report.
- The disclosure failed to provide a measure of the interest of each ordinary shareholders.

Med



সিকিউরিটিজ কমিশন ভবন, ই-৬/সি আগারগাঁও, শের-ই-বাংলা নগর প্রশাসনিক এলাকা, ঢাকা-১২০৭, বাংলাদেশ।

Observation 12: Non-compliance of Bangladesh Accounting Standard 10: Events after the Reporting the Period.

During our audit we have found that the Generation Next Fashions Limited (GNFL) did not disclose the date of authorization of financial statements for the year 2014 and 2015. We have also not found the name of authorizer of the financial statements.

Implications and CFD's Comments:

 It is a non-compliance of para 17 of Bangladesh Accounting Standard 10: Events after the Reporting Period.

Observation 13: Adequate disclosures in preparation of financial statements were not ensured.

During our audit, we have reviewed the accounting policies and disclosures presented in the financial statements for the year ended 2014 and 2015and noted that the GNFL did not provides disclosure relating to the accounting policies they adopted, though disclosure related to accounting policies is a significant factor required by BAS 1. Generation Next Fashions Limited did not disclose the following elements in the financial statements:

| Particulars of Disclosure | Required BSA's Reference |
|------------------------------|---|
| Materiality and aggregation. | BAS 1: Presentation of Financial Statements, Para 9&Para125 |
| Presentation of Deferred Tax | BAS 12: Income taxes, Para 81 (g) |
| Related Party Disclosures | BAS 24: Related Party Disclosures, Para 17 |

Implications and CFD's Comments:

- Non-compliance of Rule12 (2) of the Security & Exchange Rules, 1987.
- Non-compliance with para 15 of Bangladesh Accounting Standard 1: Presentation of Financial Statements.

Observation 14: Required information was not available for confirming of inventory valuation. During our audit we have found that, Generation Next Fashions Limited's (GNFL) audited financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2014 & 2015, note#14.7mentioned that, Inventories comprises of raw materials, work-in-process, finished goods. Raw materials have been valued at cost. Work in process have been valued at prime cost basis as required by Bangladesh Accounting Standard 2: Inventories, with proportionate addition of factory overheads. Finished goods have been valued at the lower of cost and net realizable value basis. Cost is determined by weighted average method.

We were unable to authenticate whether the inventories actually valued as per requirements of Bangladesh Accounting Standard 2 or not. We were not provided with necessary documents and information like stock counting reports, inventory ledger and assessment regarding net realizable value etc.

Implications and CFD's Comments:

- Valuation of inventories as per policy could not be ensured. Non-compliance of Para 9 of BAS 2.
- Profit for the year might be misstated.
- Current assets as of reporting date might be misstated.

Marc



সিকিউরিটিজ কমিশন ভবন, ই-৬/সি আগারগাঁও, শের-ই-বাংলা নগর প্রশাসনিক এলাকা, ঢাকা-১২০৭, বাংলাদেশ।

Observation 15: Non-compliance noted with BAS 7: Cash Flow Statements.

It was noted that the statement of cash flows of GNFL for the year ended 31 December 2015 showed a transaction related to deferred payment of machinery purchased amounting to BDT 70,753,323, though the item was a non -cash natured transaction.

Implications and CFD's Comments:

- Non-compliance with para 1 of Bangladesh Accounting Standards (BAS) 7 Cash Flows Statements.
- · Statements of cash flows were misstated.

Observation 16: Accrual concept was not followed properly in recognizing expenses.

We have noted that the following expenses were not recognized based on accrual basis concept of accounting during relevant year 2014 & 2015. Since some of the expenses for the year 2013 & 2014 were recognized in the financial statements of 2014 & 2015 respectively. The below table summarizes the instances:

| Voucher Date | Particular | Amount | Date of Bill/Invoice |
|---------------------|-------------------|---------|--|
| | Factory | 12,255 | Bill date: December 19, 2013, Bill date: |
| February 20, 2014 | Maintenance | | December 11, 2013, Bill date: December 23, |
| reditially 20, 2014 | | | 2013, Bill date: December 24, 2013, Bill date: |
| | | | December 21, 2013 |
| January 04, 2014 | Car Rental | 128,000 | Bill for the month of November, 2013 & Bill |
| January 04, 2014 | | | date: December 05, 2013 |
| January 04, 2014 | Car Rental | 50,000 | Bill for the month of November, 2013 & Bill |
| January 04, 2014 | | | date: December 05, 2013 |
| January 04, 2014 | Car Rental | 51,200 | For the month of November, 2013 & Bill date: |
| January 04, 2014 | | | December 08, 2013 |
| February 17, 2014 | Lab Test & | 24,810 | Pro-forma invoice (PI) date: December 26, |
| reducity 17, 2014 | Inspection Fee | | 2013 |
| January 07, 2015 | Professional fees | 40,000 | Bill for the month of November, 2014. Bill |
| January 07, 2013 | (A.WAHAB & Co.) | | date: November 30, 2014 |
| February 01, 2015 | Professional fees | 40,000 | Bill for the month of December, 2014. Bill |
| reducity 01, 2013 | (A.WAHAB & Co.) | | date: December 31, 2014 |

Implications and CFD's Comments:

- Expenses were recorded inappropriately i.e. expenses of a particular period were recorded in another period.
- Non-compliance with para 27 of Bangladesh Accounting Standard 1: Presentation of Financial Statements
- The objective of financial statements i.e. 'True and Fair View 'was not ensured.
- · Matching principle of accounting was not followed.
- Implies significant weakness in internal control over financial reporting system.
- Non-compliance with Bangladesh Financial Reporting Standards (BFRS)
 Profit/ loss were misstated.

NOTO



সিকিউরিটিজ কমিশন ভবন, ই-৬/সি আগারগাঁও শের-ই-বাংলা নগর প্রশাসনিক এলাকা, ঢাকা-১২০৭, বাংলাদেশ।

Observation 17: Agreement was not made against some significant transactions.

During our course of audit, we could not confirm huge amount of money transacted under building & civil construction with various parties only based on work order. GNFL were unable to provide us details information regarding those parties. Details are given below:

| Sl. No. | Vendor Name | Transaction up to 31 Dec: 2015 | Remarks |
|------------|---|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1. | AJ Corporation Ltd | 3,750,000 | Agreement was not made available |
| 2. | Real Properties | 123,000,000 | Agreement was not made available |
| 3. | Azim Engineering workshop & Thai Aluminum | 4,390,000 | Agreement was not made available |
| 4. | Brothers Builders | 3,018,800 | Agreement was not made available |
| 5. | Amena Enterprise | 2,500,000 | Agreement was not made available |
| 6. | Akij Cement Co ltd | 6,709,591 | Agreement was not made available |
| 7. | Bhuiyan Properties | 4,000,000 | Agreement was not made available |
| 8. | RSRM Steel plant Limited | 3,189,100 | Agreement was not made available |
| 9. | Hive Interiors | 3,800,000 | Agreement was not made available |

Implications

- Lack of transparency in selecting vendor/Procurement process.
- Significant control weakness over internal control.
- The objective of financial statements i.e. 'True and Fair View 'was not ensured.

Observation 18: No deferred tax was calculated as per the requirements of BAS 12: Income Taxes.

Generation Next Fashions Limited (GNFL) did not recognized deferred tax on the taxable temporary difference in both year 2014 & 2015 in their financial statements.

Implications and CFD's Comments:

- · Accounting profit were misstated.
- Investors might have been misled due to these anomalies in the financial statements.
- NAV of the company were misstated in the financial statement.
- Non-compliance with para 24 of BAS 12: Income Tax.

Observation 19: Non Submission of withholding tax return.

During the course of our audit, we have noted that, Generation Next Fashions Limited did not submit withholding tax return for the year 2014 & 2015.

Implications and CFD's Comments:

• Non-compliance of Income Tax ordinance 1984, Section 75A (1).

Mor

ফোন (পিএবিএক্স): +৮৮-০২-৫৫০০৭১৩১-২, ফ্যাক্স: +৮৮-০২-৫৫০০৭১৩৬, ই-মেইল: seebda bdmail net. ওয়েব: www.sec.gav.bd



সিকিউরিটিজ কমিশন ভবন, ই-৬/সি আগারগাঁও, শের-ই-বাংলা নগর প্রশাসনিক এলাকা, ঢাকা-১২০৭, বাংলাদেশ।

Observation 20: Irregularities in Tax and VAT deduction and deposit.

Every entity should comply with the local rules and regulations of the country to continue their operations. Entity should deduct Tax and VAT on payment of taxable expenses and duly paid the deducted amount to the Govt. treasury within prescribed time limit in accordance with the Income Tax Ordinance 1984 and the VAT Act, 1991 respectively. If the entity does not comply with concerned Tax and VAT rules, they may be imposed fines and penalty by the Govt.

During the course of our audit we observed some irregularities that did not comply with the concerned Tax and VAT rules and regulation. Few instances are given below with their implications:

20.1 Value Added Tax (VAT) was not deducted at source in some cases.

During the course of our special audit we have noted some irregularities in deducting VAT from some transactions, though it was requited as per relevant act. Our instance where GNFL did not deduct VAT accordingly are given below:

| Ledger He | Ledger Head | | Ledger amount | Applicable Rate | Amount |
|------------------|-----------------------|---|---------------|-----------------|---------|
| Car Rent & Othe | ers | 2014 | 2,360,032 | 2.25% | 53,100 |
| Car Rent & Othe | ers | 2015 | 4,928,956 | 2.25% | 110,902 |
| Advertisement | | 2014 | 612,517 | 15% | 91,888 |
| Advertisement | | 2015 | 747,773 | 15% | 112,167 |
| Professional Fee | S | 2014 | 920,000 | 15% | 138,000 |
| Professional Fee | S | 2015 | 1,020,000 | 15% | 153,000 |
| ShimantoParibal | nan | 2014 | 6,436,135 | 7.5% | 482710 |
| ShimantoParibal | nan | 2015 | 13,302,113 | 7.5% | 997,658 |
| Others | | | | | |
| Date | Ledger Head | Particular | Amount | Applicable Rate | Amount |
| June 17, 2014 | AGM & EGM Expenses | Printing expenses(Olympic Industries Ltd) | 468,080 | 15% | 70,212 |
| July 24, 2014 | AGM & EGM Expenses | Printing expenses(Colorz Ltd) | 684,000 | 15% | 102,600 |
| June 15, 2014 | Stationery | Printing expenses(ART,ND Ltd) | 55,050 | 15% | 8,258 |
| | Stationery | Printing | 25,000 | 15% | 3,750 |

Implications & CFD's Comments:

• Non-compliance of section-6(4kha), Rule-18 (kha) of value Added Tax Act 1991.

20.2 Tax Deducted at Source (TDS) were not deposited to the Government Exchequer within the stipulated time.

Observation

During the course of our special audit we have found that, GNFL deducted tax at source which were not deposited to Government treasury on due time in some cases. Our instances are given below:

| Deduction Date | Particular | TDS in BDT | Deposit Date | Delay in days |
|------------------|-------------|------------|--|---------------|
| January 13, 2014 | Office rent | 6,750 | No document available to confirm the deposit | |

Named

ফোন (পিএবিএক্স): +৮৮-০২-৫৫০০৭১৩১-২, ফ্যাক্স: +৮৮-০২-৫৫০০৭১০৬, ই-মেইল: seebdaa bdmail.net. ওয়েব: www.see you bd



সিকিউরিটিজ কমিশন ভবন, ই-৬/সি আগারগাঁও, শের-ই-বাংলা নগর প্রশাসনিক এলাকা, ঢাকা-১২০৭, বাংলাদেশ।

| Deduction Date | Particular | TDS in | Deposit Date | Delay in days |
|--------------------|-------------|--------|------------------------|---|
| | | BDT | | 是一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个 |
| February 15, 2014 | Office rent | 6,750 | No document available | Could not be confirmed |
| rebruary 13, 2014 | | | to confirm the deposit | either deposited or not |
| March 12, 2014 | Office rent | 6,750 | No document available | Could not be confirmed |
| March 12, 2014 | | | to confirm the deposit | either deposited or not |
| April 09, 2014 | Office rent | 6,750 | No document available | Could not be confirmed |
| April 09, 2014 | | | to confirm the deposit | either deposited or not |
| May 12, 2014 | Office rent | 6,750 | No document available | Could not be confirmed |
| Way 12, 2014 | | | to confirm the deposit | either deposited or not |
| June 11, 2014 | Office rent | 6,750 | No document available | Could not be confirmed |
| Julie 11, 2014 | | | to confirm the deposit | either deposited or not |
| July 27, 2014 | Office rent | 6,750 | 27 Nov 14 | 104 days delay |
| August 24, 2014 | Office rent | 6,750 | 27 Nov 14 | 73 days delay |
| September 25, 2014 | Office rent | 6,750 | 27 Nov 14 | 43 days delay |
| October 20,2014 | Office rent | 6,750 | 27 Nov 14 | 12 days delay |
| November 24, 2014 | Office rent | 6,750 | 24 Dec 2014 | Not made available. |
| December 29, 2014 | Office rent | 6,750 | 18 March 15 | 62 days delay |
| January 26, 2015 | Office rent | 6,750 | 18 March 15 | 31 days Delay |
| February 26, 2015 | Office rent | 6,750 | 12 April 2016 | 387 days delay |
| March 23, 2015 | Office rent | 6,750 | 12 April 2016 | 356 days delay |
| April 25, 2015 | Office rent | 6,750 | 12 April 2016 | 325 days delay |
| May 31, 2015 | Office rent | 6,750 | 12 April 2016 | 294 days delay |
| June 24, 2015 | Office rent | 6,750 | 11 May 2016 | 296 days delay |
| July 26, 2015 | Office rent | 6,750 | 11 May 2016 | 265 days delay |
| August 19, 2015 | Office rent | 6,750 | 11 May 2016 | 234 days delay |
| September 21, 2015 | Office rent | 6,750 | 11 May 2016 | 203 days delay |
| October 24, 2015 | Office rent | 6,750 | 15 June 2016 | 210 days delay |
| November 21, 2015 | Office rent | 7,600 | 15 June 2016 | 179 days delay |
| December 21, 2015 | Office rent | 7,600 | 15 June 2016 | 148 days delay |

Implications & CFD's Comments:

Non-compliance of section 59 of Income Tax Ordinance 1984.

20.3 VAT Deducted at Source (VDS) were not deposited within the stipulated time to the Government Exchequer.

Observation

During the course of our special audit we have noted that GNFL deducted VAT at source which were not deposited to Government treasury within due time in some cases. Our instances are given below:

| Deduction Date | Particular | Amount | Deposited Date | Delay in Days |
|-----------------------|-------------|--------|--|--|
| January 13, 2014 | Office rent | 12,150 | No document available to confirm the deposit | Could not be confirmed either deposited or not |
| February 15, 2014 | Office rent | 12,150 | No document available to confirm the deposit | Could not be confirmed either deposited or not |

Me

ফোন (পিএবিএক্স): +৮৮-০২-৫৫০০৭১৩১-২, ফ্যাক্স: +৮৮-০২-৫৫০০৭১০৬, ই-মেইল: sechda bdmail net. ওয়েব: www.sec gov.bd



সিকিউরিটিজ কমিশন ভবন, ই-৬/সি আগারগাঁও, শের-ই-বাংলা নগর প্রশাসনিক এলাকা, ঢাকা-১২০৭, বাংলাদেশ।

| March 12, 2014 | Office rent | 12,150 | No document available | Could not be confirmed |
|--------------------|-------------|--------|------------------------|-------------------------|
| | | | to confirm the deposit | either deposited or not |
| April 09, 2014 | Office rent | 12,150 | No document available | Could not be confirmed |
| | | | to confirm the deposit | either deposited or not |
| May 12, 2014 | Office rent | 12,150 | No document available | Could not be confirmed |
| - | | | to confirm the deposit | either deposited or not |
| June 11, 2014 | Office rent | 12,150 | No document available | Could not be confirmed |
| | | | to confirm the deposit | either deposited or not |
| July 27, 2014 | Office rent | 12,150 | 27 Nov 14 | 108 days delay |
| August 24, 2014 | Office rent | 12,150 | 27 Nov 14 | 80 days delay |
| September 25, 2014 | Office rent | 12,150 | 27 Nov 14 | 48 days delay |
| October 20,2014 | Office rent | 12,150 | 27 Nov 14 | 23 days delay |
| November 24,2014 | Office rent | 12,150 | 24 Dec 2014 | 15 days delay |
| December 29,2014 | Office rent | 12,150 | 18 March 15 | 64 days delay |
| January 26,2015 | Office rent | 12,150 | 18 March 15 | 36 days Delay |
| February 26,2015 | Office rent | 12,150 | 12 April 2016 | 396 days delay |
| March 23,2015 | Office rent | 12,150 | 12 April 2016 | 371 days delay |
| April 25,2015 | Office rent | 12,150 | 12 April 2016 | 338 days delay |
| May 31, 2015 | Office rent | 12,150 | 12 April 2016 | 302 days delay |
| June 24,2015 | Office rent | 12,150 | 11 May 2016 | 307 days delay |
| July 26, 2015 | Office rent | 12,150 | 11 May 2016 | 275 days delay |
| August 19, 2015 | Office rent | 12,150 | 11 May 2016 | 251 days delay |
| September 21,2015 | Office rent | 12,150 | 11 May 2016 | 218 days delay |
| October 24,2015 | Office rent | 12,150 | 15 June 2016 | 220 days delay |
| November 21,2015 | Office rent | 13,680 | 15 June 2016 | 192 days delay |
| December 21, 2015 | Office rent | 13,680 | 15 June 2016 | 162 days delay |

Implications & CFD's Comments:

• Non-compliance of 18 (kha) of the Value Added Tax 1991.

20.4 Prescribed particulars in respect of depreciation claim were notsubmitted to the appropriate authority.

Observation

During the course of our special audit, we have noted that no such particulars was submitted by GNF to the appropriate authority.

Implications & CFD's Comments:

- Non-compliance of rule 41 of Income Tax Ordinance 1984.
- · DCT might disallow the depreciation expense.

March

ফোন (পিএবিএক্স): +৮৮-০২-৫৫০০৭১৩১-২, ফ্যাক্স: +৮৮-০২-৫৫০০৭১০৬, ই-মেইল: seebdarbdmad net. ওয়েব: www.see.gov.bd



সিকিউরিটিজ কমিশন ভবন, ই-৬/সি আগারগাঁও, শের-ই-বাংলা নগর প্রশাসনিক এলাকা, ঢাকা-১২০৭, বাংলাদেশ।

Observation 21: Cash transaction crossed the limits.

During the course of our special audit, we have noted that a large number of transactions were made breaching above mentioned threshold through cash though it was restricted according to the referred criteria. Our instances are given below:

| Date | Particulars | Vendor Name | BDT |
|-------------------|---|--------------------------------|-----------|
| January 02, 2014 | Construction expense | Brothers Builders | 2,00,000 |
| January 29,2014 | Fire Hydrant System | Symantec Technology Limited | 3,50,000 |
| January 30,2014 | Construction expense | Brothers Builders | 1,34,000 |
| | Building Construction | | |
| January 30,2014 | expense | Mr. Monir (Factory) | 4,95,720 |
| January 29,2014 | Construction expense | Brothers Builders (Mr. Adel) | 2,00,000 |
| February 24,2014 | Construction expense | Brothers Builders (Mr. Adel) | 2,00,000 |
| February 23,2014 | Building Construction | M/S .Azim Engineering | 2,00,000 |
| March 02, 2014 | Construction expense | M/S .Azim Engineering Works | 99,650 |
| March 04, 2014 | Building Construction | M/S .Azim Engineering | 2,00,000 |
| March 06, 2014 | Electrical Good | Talash | 70,273 |
| March 06, 2014 | Construction expense | Brothers Builders (Mr. Adel) | 1,00,000 |
| March 09, 2014 | Building Construction | M/S .Azim Engineering | 3,00,000 |
| March 10, 2014 | Construction expense | Brothers Builders (Mr. Adel) | 3,00,000 |
| March 15, 2014 | Electrical Good | Talash | 3,00,000 |
| March 15, 2014 | Electrical Good | Talash | 99,275 |
| March 20,2014 | Building Construction | M/S .Azim Engineering | 2,00,000 |
| January 30,2014 | Building Construction | M/S .Azim Engineering | 1,00,000 |
| February 04,2014 | Building Construction | M/S .Azim Engineering | 67,000 |
| February 15,2014 | Fire Hydrant System | Symantec Technology Limited | 3,50,000 |
| February 17,2014 | Electrical Good | Talash | 55,361 |
| January 02,2014 | Building Construction | M/S .Azim Engineering | 60,000 |
| January 08,2014 | Construction expense | Brothers Builders (Mr. Adel) | 68,000 |
| January 09,2014 | Building Construction | M/S .Azim Engineering | 1,00,000 |
| August 28, 2014 | Construction expense | Brothers Builders (Mr. Adel) | 25,00,000 |
| September 04,2014 | Building Construction expense | M/S .Azim Engineering | 1,22,365 |
| C | Building Construction | | |
| September 07,2014 | expense | M/S .Azim Engineering | 50,000 |
| September 07,2014 | Construction expense | Mr. Monir | 1,29,800 |
| May 11,2014 | Electrical Good | Talash | 2,00,000 |
| May 08, 2014 | Construction expense | M/S .Azim Engineering Workshop | 1,71,000 |
| May 11,2014 | Electrical Good | Talash | 1,56,000 |
| May 11,2014 | Construction expense | Brothers Builders (Mr. Adel) | 2,06,300 |
| May 29, 2014 | Construction expense | M/S .Azim Engineering Workshop | 1,06,880 |
| May 29 ,2014 | Construction expense | Brothers Builders (Mr. Adel) | 1,00,000 |
| May 20,2014 | Construction expense | Brothers Builders (Mr. Adel) | 50,000 |
| May 27, 2014 | Building Construction & Development expense | Talash | 1,32,380 |
| | Development expense | 1 diasii | 1,32,360 |

ME

ফোন (পিএবিএক্স): +৮৮-০২-৫৫০০৭১৩১-২, ফ্যাক্স: +৮৮-০২-৫৫০০৭১০৬, ই-মেইল: seebdiabdmail.net. ওয়েব: www.sec.gov.bd



সিকিউরিটিজ কমিশন ভবন, ই-৬/সি আগারগাঁও, শের-ই-বাংলা নগর প্রশাসনিক এলাকা, ঢাকা-১২০৭, বাংলাদেশ।

| Date | Particulars | Vendor Name | BDT |
|--------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------|
| May 31, 2014 | Construction expense | Mr. Monir | 1,87,800 |
| May 06, 2014 | Building Construction | | |
| May 00, 2014 | expense | M/S .Azim Engineering | 5,00,000 |
| March 22,2014 | Air Cooler | General Trading & Electronics co. | 80,000 |
| June 15, 2014 | Convertor | Badhan Electronics | 58,000 |
| July 14, 2014 | Laptop Purchase | Computer sources | 58,000 |
| March 06, 2014 | Computer Expense | Monarch IT ltd | 76,100 |
| March 13, 2014 | Furniture & fixture | Woodland | 50,000 |
| April 05,2014 | Furniture Expense | 1st avenue | 80,000 |
| April 12,2014 | Laptop Purchase | Executive Technologies ltd | 58,400 |
| April 30,2014 | Air Cooler | General Trading & Electronics co. | 129,000 |
| June 15, 2014 | Submersible pump | RupayanJantralayan | 196,000 |
| September 11, 2014 | Laptop Purchase | Executive Machine Itd | 276,800 |
| August 06, 2014 | Spare Parts | Fast Tech Engineering Itd | 100,000 |
| October 02, 2014 | CCTV Expense | Safe Life Technology | 50,000 |
| October 23, 2014 | Furniture & fixture | United Traders | 50,000 |
| January 17, 2015 | Mobile set purchase | Executive Machines Ltd | 88,600 |
| August 01, 2015 | Furniture & fixture | Ismat Ara enterprise Ltd | 108,000 |
| September 08, 2015 | Brick purchases | M/S Sonali Enterprise | 61,640 |
| September 14, 2015 | GAS Generator purchase | B-Trac Engineering Ltd | 686,800 |
| April 01, 2015 | Installation & supply of CNG Kit | Super CNG | 60,000 |
| June 01, 2015 | Vehicle Purchase | Lisa Trade | 275,000 |
| May 30, 2015 | Professional & consultancy fees | Mr. Shambhu | 200,000 |
| November 08, 2015 | Professional & consultancy fees | Advocate Manik Roy | 60,000 |
| January 12, 2015 | Repair & Maintenance | Micro Engineering workshop | 70,000 |
| February 08,2015 | Car Rent & other expenses | Akhter Sir | 365,000 |
| November 11 2015 | Car Rent & other expense | Care lease rent | 136,518 |
| January 05, 2015 | Advertisement expense | The daily Somokal | 50,000 |
| February 16, 2015 | Advertisement expense | Sundial travels Ltd | 200,000 |
| January 07, 2015 | Traveling & Conveyance | Mr. Lutfur Rahman | 125,000 |
| January 22, 2015 | Traveling & Conveyance | Sundial travels Ltd | 403,500 |
| September 22, 2015 | Traveling & Conveyance | HPS Travels & Tour | 153,000 |
| January 06, 2015 | Insurance expense | Asia Insurance Ltd | 112,500 |
| January 11, 2015 | Insurance expense | Standard Insurance Ltd | 50,000 |

Implications & CFD's Comments:

- Non-compliance of condition requirement 14 of approval letter for issuance of Right Shares from BSEC ref. no. BSEC/CI/RI-90/2013/93 dated February 04, 2014.
- Non-compliance of section 30(m) of Income Tax Ordinance 1984.
- Income tax Authority might disallow the expenses which ultimately will increase the amount
 of tax liability.

rome



সিকিউরিটিজ কমিশন ভবন, ই-৬/সি আগারগাঁও, শের-ই-বাংলা নগর প্রশাসনিক এলাকা, ঢাকা-১২০৭, বাংলাদেশ।

Observation 22: Gratuity fund was not maintained by the entity.

The entity has a policy of paying gratuity to all of the outgoing workers who have been working for more than 10 years in the company equivalent to one month's basic salary for each year of service. However the entity does not maintain or recognize any gratuity fund in the financial statements in favor of its employees.

Implications & CFD's Comments:

- Non-compliance of section 2 910) of Labor Laws 2006 (as amended up to 2013).
- It indicates poor fund management of the company.

Observation 23: Books of Accounts were maintained manually.

We have noted that the entity has not been using any automated accounting software to maintain the accounting records. They simply uses Microsoft Excel Spreadsheet (MS Excel) to record day to day transactions. They are maintaining journal entries, general ledger and other accounting records in MS Excel only. They are also not maintaining accounting records manually in hard copy format.

We further noted that the books of accounts (i.e. ledger) and recording of transactions (i.e. journal) are not properly maintained. No systematic debit and credit concept was applied both in journals and ledgers. Only the extract of transaction was recorded with date and amount without any detail particular. No Trial Balance was prepared by the entity.

Implications:

- · Books of accounts were not maintained systematically.
- It may create inconsistency in data entry, errors and omissions and miscopying information.
- Data security may not be ensured and disaster recovery plans harder to implement.
- Duplication of data entry and prone to human error.

Observation 24: Vouchers were not maintained with unique numbers.

As per accounting practices, all types of journal and cash/bank voucher must have a unique number tagged with general ledgers. Those numbers must be consistent with date of transaction, recording date, journal reference, general ledger code reference, etc.

During the course of our special audit, we have found that the entity does not maintain a unique number series of any vouchers.

Implications:

- It may not be possible to find out the missing voucher.
- Searching any particular voucher will be time consuming.
- It implies significant control weakness over recording process.

rmo



সিকিউরিটিজ কমিশন ভবন, ই-৬/সি আগারগাঁও, শের-ই-বাংলা নগর প্রশাসনিক এলাকা, ঢাকা-১২০৭, বাংলাদেশ।

Observation 25: Irregularities noted in the procurement procedure of Generation Next Fashions Limited (GNFL).

During the course of special audit we have found that GNF has procured some of the assets and goods where quotation was collected against the same procurement for the name of AJ Corporation (Related party of GNFL). As a result of the instances the transparency of some transactions are not ensured. Instances are given below:

| Date | Particula r | Ledger Head | Amount in BDT. | Remarks |
|-------------------|----------------------|-----------------|----------------|---|
| Mar 19, 2014 | Furniture Expense | Other assets | 40,000 | Quotation was issued to AJ Corporation. |
| March 13, 2014 | Furniture Expense | Other assets | 50,000 | Quotation was issued to AJ Corporation. |
| March 16, 2014 | Furniture Expense | Other assets | 25,000 | Quotation was submitted to AJ Corporation from Ismat Ara regarding the procurement but payment related to the same expenditure/voucher was made to other vendor named Fully Furnished. |
| April 2, 2014 | Furniture Expense | Other assets | 27,000 | Money receipts from vendor implies the payment was made by AJ Corporation, even though the transaction was recorded in the ledger of Generation Next Fashions Limited. |

Implications CFD's Comments:

- It indicates weaknesses in the procurement /supply chain management of the company.
- It indicates deficiencies of internal control over financial reporting (ICFR).
- · True and fair view is not reflecting.

Observation 26: Irregularities in other assets procurement.

During our special audit we noted irregularities in the procurement procedure of other assets. Instances given following:

| Date | Amount | Remarks |
|------------------|---------|--|
| April 5, 2014 | 80,000 | Payment was made through cash, Vendor was not selected in the way of comparative price analysis, moreover Work Order, challan, GRN were also not made available. |
| April 12, 2014 | 58,400 | Payment was made through cash, Vendor was not selected in the way of comparative price analysis, moreover Work Order, challan, GRN were also not made available. |
| January 14, 2014 | 200,000 | Vendor was not selected in the way of comparative price analysis, moreover Work Order, challan, GRN were also not made available. |

More



বাংলাদেশ সিকিউরিটিজ অ্যান্ড এক্সচেঞ্জ কমিশন সিকিউরিটিজ কমিশন ভবন, ই-৬/সি আগারগাঁও, শের-ই-বাংলা নগর প্রশাসনিক এলাকা, ঢাকা-১২০৭, বাংলাদেশ।

| Date | Amount | Remarks | | | |
|-----------------------|---------|---|--|--|--|
| January 29, 2014 | 225,000 | Vendor was not selected in the way of comparative price analysis, moreover Work Order, challan, GRN were also not made available. | | | |
| April 13, 2014 | 200,000 | No other document related payment was made available except a money receipt. | | | |
| April 30, 2014 | 129,000 | Payment was made through cash, Vendor was not selected in the way of comparative price analysis, moreover Work Order, GRN were also not made available. | | | |
| June 15, 2014 | 196,000 | Payment was made through cash, Vendor was not selected in the way of comparative price analysis, moreover Work Order, challan, GRN were also not made available. | | | |
| June 21, 2014 | 99,000 | Payment was made through cash, no other document related payment was made available except bill. Moreover Work Order, challan, money receipt, GRN were also not made available. | | | |
| June 23, 2014 | 65,000 | Vendor was not selected in the way of comparative price analysis, moreover Work Order, challan, Bill copy GRN were also not made available. | | | |
| August 6, 2014 | 100,000 | Payment was made through cash, no other document related payment was made available except quotation. Moreover Work Order, challan, GRN, Money receipts were also not made available. | | | |
| September 11, 2014 | 276,800 | Payment was made through cash, Vendor was not selected in the way of comparative price analysis, moreover Work Order, Bill copy, GRN were also not made available. | | | |
| October 2, 2014 | 50,000 | Payment was made through cash, no other document related payment was made available except a money receipt. | | | |
| November 17, 2014 | 300,000 | The original contract value is 26 lac and vendor was not selected in the way of comparative price analysis. | | | |

Some other instances are as:

| Date | Amount | Ledger Head | Remarks |
|------------------|---------|-----------------|---|
| January 14, 2014 | 200,000 | | Vendor was not selected in the way of comparative price analysis, moreover Work Order was not made available. |
| January 29, 2014 | 225,000 | | Vendor was not selected in the way of comparative price analysis, moreover Work Order was not made available. |
| April 13, 2014 | 200,000 | Other Assets | Vendor was not selected in the way of comparative price analysis, moreover Work Order was not made available. |
| April 30, 2014 | 129,000 | | Vendor was not selected in the way of comparative price analysis, moreover Work Order was not made available. |



সিকিউরিটিজ কমিশন ভবন, ই-৬/সি আগারগাঁও, শের-ই-বাংলা নগর প্রশাসনিক এলাকা, ঢাকা-১২০৭, বাংলাদেশ।

| Date . | Amount | Ledger Head | Remarks |
|----------------------|------------|----------------|---|
| June 15, 2014 | 196,000 | | Vendor was not selected in the way of comparative price analysis, moreover Work Order was not made available. |
| August 6, 2014 | 100,000 | | Vendor was not selected in the way of comparative price analysis, moreover Work Order was not made available. |
| September 17 2014 | 1,276,800 | | Vendor was not selected in the way of comparative price analysis, moreover Work Order was not made available. |
| November 17 2014 | 7, 300,000 | | Vendor was not selected in the way of comparative price analysis, moreover Work Order was not made available. |

Implications & CFD's Comments:

- Significant internal control weakness in procurement management and True & Fairview was not presented in the financial statements.
- Lack of transparency of class of transaction.
- · It might create scope for irregularities.
- True and fair view is not reflecting.

Observation 27: Fixed asset register was not maintained.

During the course of our special audit we observed that GNFL does not maintain fixed assets register. Due to not maintaining fixed asset register (FAR) we couldn't confirm the existence of fixed assets.

Implications and CFD's Comments:

- Physical existence of assets could not be confirmed.
- Safeguarding of fixed assets were not ensured.
- It might create scope for misuse of company resources.
- The possibility of fraud related to fixed assets might be increased for not having fixed assets register as the lost item might remain undetected. Compliance issues related to fixed assets might not be confirmed.
- True and fair view is not reflecting.

Observation 28: Authorization Matrix for expenditure approval was not made available.

During the course of our special audit we observed that GNFL have no authorization matrix for the purpose of approval of expense limit and vouchers.

Implications & CFD's Comments:

- It indicates lack of good governance.
- This practice implies lack of internal control.

- Notes



সিকিউরিটিজ কমিশন ভবন, ই-৬/সি আগারগাঁও, শের-ই-বাংলা নগর প্রশাসনিক এলাকা, ঢাকা-১২০৭, বাংলাদেশ।

Observation 29: Adequate supporting documents not available with vouchers.

During the course of our audit, we observed weaknesses in documentation. Instances are given below

| Date | Particular | Vendor Name | Amount | Remarks |
|-----------------|----------------|---------------------|---------|------------------------------|
| October 02, | Construction | Mr. Adel - Brothers | 300,000 | No supporting documents was |
| 2014 | expenses | Builders | | made available with voucher. |
| October 02, | Fire Fighting | Mr. Belayet- New | 250,000 | No supporting documents was |
| 2014 | expenses | Tech Ltd | | made available with voucher. |
| March 12, 2014 | Travelling | ShamoliPribahan | 42,000 | No supporting documents was |
| | Expenses | | | made available with voucher. |
| April 08, 2014 | Misc. | Mr. Sikder | 200,000 | No supporting documents was |
| ** | Expenses | | | made available with voucher. |
| February 19, | Misc. | Mr. Mahbub | 25,000 | No supporting documents was |
| 2014 | Expenses | | | made available with voucher. |
| June 09, 2014 | Donation | Mr. Azimir Sharif | 158,000 | No supporting documents was |
| | _ | | | made available with voucher. |
| March 31, 2014 | Lunch | For the month of | 39,000 | No supporting documents was |
| | Expenses | March 2014 | | made available with voucher. |
| May 31, 2014 | Lunch | For the month of | 40,000 | No supporting documents was |
| | Expenses | May 2014 | _ | made available with voucher. |
| June 30, 2014 | Lunch | For the month of | 40,100 | No supporting documents was |
| | Expenses | June 2014 | | made available with voucher. |
| January 23, | Commercial | WAC Logistics | 13,500 | Challan copy missing |
| 2014 | expenses | Limited | | Bill copy missing |
| March 05, 2014 | Commercial | WAC Logistics | 18,000 | Challan copy missing |
| | expenses | Limited | | Bill copy missing |
| October 14, | Commercial | WAC Logistics | 27,000 | Challan copy missing |
| 2014 | expenses | Limited | | Bill copy missing |
| May 30, 2015 | Professional & | Mr. Shambhu | 200,000 | No supporting documents was |
| | consultancy | | | made available with voucher. |
| | fees | | | |
| December 10, | Professional & | Mr. Monirruzzan | 100,000 | Challan copy missing |
| 2015 | consultancy | Mukta | | Bill copy missing |
| | fees | | | Money receipts not found |
| August 04, 2015 | Courier & | Fedex Express | 85,963 | No supporting documents was |
| | postage | | | made available with voucher. |
| October 31, | Courier & | Fedex Express | 120,780 | No supporting documents was |
| 2015 | postage | | | made available with voucher. |
| June 18, 2015 | Donation | Misc. SGS | 50,000 | No supporting documents was |
| | | | | made available with voucher. |
| January 05, | Advertisement | The Daily Samakal | 50,000 | No supporting documents was |
| 2015 | expense | | | made available with voucher. |
| January 07, | Travelling | Mr. Lutfur Rahman | 125,000 | No supporting documents was |
| 2015 | expense | | | made available with voucher. |
| January 18, | | MD sir | 122,000 | No supporting documents was |
| 2015 | expense | | F | made available with voucher. |
| May17,2015 | Travelling | MD sir/Akhter sir/ | 452,500 | No supporting documents was |
| | expense | Lutfur Rahman | | made available with voucher. |
| January 22, | Travelling | Mr. Mohd Akhter | 403,500 | Bill copy missing |



সিকিউরিটিজ কমিশন ভবন, ই-৬/সি আগারগাঁও, শের-ই-বাংলা নগর প্রশাসনিক এলাকা, ঢাকা-১২০৭, বাংলাদেশ।

| Date | | Particular | Vendor Name | Amount | Remarks |
|-----------------|-----|-------------------|--------------------|--------|--|
| 2015 | | expense | | | Money receipts not found Air ticket missing |
| January 2015 | 11, | Insurance expense | Standard Insurance | 50,000 | No supporting documents was made available with voucher. |

Implications

- The original purpose of the expenditure were not revealed.
- It indicates lack of internal control & corporate governance practices.
- Expenses may be overstated or understated.
- Unnecessary expenditure may be incurred which is the ultimate destroying of shareholders money.
- True and fair view is not reflecting.

Observation 30: Unnecessary expenditure incurred by GNFL.

During the course of our special audit we found that, tax deducted at source (TDS) expenses relating to rental receipts from GNFL which should be borne by Lessor was paid by Lessee (GNFL). Details are provided below:

| Particulars Year | | Amount | TDS @ 5% | VAT @ 9% | Total Amount in GL | | |
|------------------|-------------|--------|----------|-----------|--------------------|---------|-----------|
| Head Expens | office e | rent | 2014 | 1,620,000 | 81,000 | 145,800 | 1,846,800 |
| Head Expens | office e | rent | 2015 | 1,654,000 | 82,700 | 148,860 | 1,885,560 |
| Total | | | | 3,274,000 | 163,700 | 294,660 | 3,732,360 |

Implications & CFD's Comments:

- Expense was overstated and profit was understated by the amount of Tk. of 81,000 and Tk. 82,700 for the year ended December 31, 2014 & 2015 respectively.
- It indicates weaknesses in the internal control system.
- True and fair view is not reflecting.

Observation 31: No cost sharing policy was maintained for sharing of office space.

During our special audit it was noted the office building is being shared by another company namely AJ Corporation. But agreement between AJ Corporation and GNFL was not made available and there is no cost sharing policy.

Implications

- Significant internal control weakness.
- · It might create scope of irregularities in cost sharing.



সিকিউরিটিজ কমিশন ভবন, ই-৬/সি আগারগাঁও, শের-ই-বাংলা নগর প্রশাসনিক এলাকা, ঢাকা-১২০৭, বাংলাদেশ।

Observation 32: Inadequate policies and procedures.

The GNFL does not have any comprehensive formal following formal policies:

- Advance policy
- Asset recognition policy
- · Procurement policy
- TA/DA policy

Implications CFD's Comments:

- · Company's fund might be misappropriated.
- It might create chances of embezzlement of fund.
- · It might create chances of misuse of fixed assets.

Observation 33: Some vouchers were found missing.

During the course of our audit, we have not been provided with the following vouchers:

| Date | Head/Particular | Amount |
|-------------------|----------------------|---------|
| March 13, 2014 | Other assets | 50,000 |
| July 14, 2014 | Other assets | 18,500 |
| August 30, 2014 | Other assets | 65,426 |
| November 16, 2014 | Other assets | 200,000 |
| June 10, 2014 | Right Issue Expenses | 617,727 |
| June 12, 2014 | Right Issue Expenses | 675,000 |

Implications and CFD's Comments:

- If any of the persons fails to take all reasonable steps to secure compliance by the company
 with the requirements of this section, or has, by his own willful act, been the cause of any
 default by the company there under, he shall, in respect of each offence, be punishable with
 imprisonment for a term which may extend to six months or with which may extend to five
 thousand taka or with both.
- The authenticity and accuracy of the transactions could not be confirmed.
- It represents significance weakness in internal control system.
- It might create scope for financial irregularities.
- True and fair view is not reflecting.

Observation 34: Competitive price analysis was not followed in case of major purchase.

While auditing the procurement systems, we have noted that Generation Next Fashions Limited has no Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) for procurement. In absence of standard operating procedures, the company incurred significant amount of transactions for which no competitive price analysis has been done.

Implications and CFD's Comments:

- It implies weak management stewardship, corporate governance and internal control over procurement procedure.
- Quality vs Cost approach of purchase were not ensured.

MIN



সিকিউরিটিজ কমিশন ভবন, ই-৬/সি আগারগাঁও, শের-ই-বাংলা নগর প্রশাসনিক এলাকা, ঢাকা-১২০৭, বাংলাদেশ।

- Maximization of Share holders' Wealth concept was distorted and questioned due to not maintenance of standard operating procedures (SOP) for procurement.
- True and fair view is not reflecting.

Observation 35: Direct confirmation could not be obtained.

During the course of our audit, we requested GNFL management to provide us the required information and authorization for selected debtors, creditors and bank to obtain the direct confirmation. Details of our communication regarding the issues are as follows:

| Date of Correspondence | Remarks |
|-------------------------------|---|
| October 24, 2016 | Bank Statements, Address of selected debtors, creditors requested |
| | through audit requisition by e- mail. |
| October 27, 2016 | Submitted confirmation letter for management authorization |
| November 06, 2016 | Reminder send to management through e-mail |
| November 22, 2016 | Reminder send to management through e-mail |
| January 23, 2017 | Reminder send to management through e-mail |

Finally, the management of GNFL provided their authorization on our confirmation letters for bank balance only on February 14, 2017. We accordingly sent those letters to the concerned banks and yet to receive bank's reply.

We did not receive the address and other details of debtors and we were unable to prepare and sent confirmation letter to debtors.

Implication:

- We could not confirm the bank balances, debtor and creditor balances directly.
- True and fair view is not reflecting.

CFD's Comments:

I. True and fair view is not reflecting.

যেহেতু, ইস্যুয়ার কর্তৃক উপরোক্ত ব্যর্থতার জন্য কমিশন কর্তৃক ফেব্রুয়ারি ১০, ২০১৯ ইং তারিখের নং বিএসইসি/এনফোর্সমেন্ট/২৪২৮/২০১৭/৬৬ নম্বর স্মারকমূলে ইস্যুয়ারের ব্যবস্থাপনা পরিচালক সহ অন্যান্য পরিচালকগণ এবং কোম্পানী সচিবকে নির্ধারিত তারিখে উক্ত ব্যর্থতার কারণ প্রদর্শন সহ শুনানীতে উপস্থিত হতে বলা হয়, সংশ্লিষ্টরা উক্ত শুনানীতে মার্চ ২৫, ২০১৯ ইং উপস্থিত হন;

যেহেতু, জেনারেশন নেক্সট ফ্যাশনস লিমিটেড একটি পাবলিক লিমিটেড কোম্পানী এবং উহার পরিচালকমন্ডলীর সদস্যরা কোম্পানীর প্রতিনিধিত্বকারী যাহারা সিকিউরিটিজ সংক্রান্ত আইন ও বিধিবিধান পরিপালনের ব্যর্থতার জন্য দায়ী;

যেহেতু, উক্ত ইস্যুয়ার কোম্পানীতে জনসাধারণের মালিকানার শেয়ার রয়েছে যা স্টক এক্সচেঞ্জে তালিকাভূক্ত, কিন্তু ইস্যুয়ার কর্তৃক সিকিউরিটিজ সংক্রান্ত আইন ও বিধিবিধান পরিপালনর না করার ফলে বিনিয়োগকারীদের স্বার্থ ক্ষুন্ন হয়েছে ও হচ্ছে, যা পুঁজিবাজারের উন্নয়নেরও পরিপন্থী;

যেহেতু, উক্ত ইস্যুয়ার কোম্পানীতে বিনিয়োগকারীদের স্বার্থ রক্ষা সহ পুঁজিবাজারের উন্নয়ন ও শৃঙ্খলা রক্ষা করা কমিশনের অন্যতম উদ্দেশ্য তথা কর্তব্য;

Non



সিকিউরিটিজ কমিশন ভবন, ই-৬/সি আগারগাঁও, শের-ই-বাংলা নগর প্রশাসনিক এলাকা, ঢাকা-১২০৭, বাংলাদেশ।

যেহেতু, উক্ত ইস্যুয়ার একটি পাবলিক লিমিটেড কোম্পানী, উহার পরিচালকমন্ডলীর সদস্যবৃন্দ কোম্পানীর প্রতিনিধিত্বকারী তারা প্রত্যেকে উল্লিখিত কর্মকান্ড তথা সিকিউরিটিজ আইন ও উহার অধীনে জারিকৃত বিধি-বিধান ভঙ্গের জন্য দায়ী যা Securities and Exchange Ordinance, 1969 এর Section 22 এর অধীন শান্তিযোগ্য অপরাধ;

যেহেতু, কমিশনের বিবেচনায়, সিকিউরিটিজ আইন ও বিধি-বিধান পরিপালনে উল্লিখিত ব্যর্থতার জন্য, পুঁজিবাজারের শৃংখলা, স্বচ্ছতা এবং জনস্বার্থে আলোচ্য ইস্যুয়ারের পরিচালকগণের প্রত্যেককে জরিমানা করা প্রয়োজন ও সমীচীন; এবং

অতএব, সেহেতু, কমিশন, উল্লিখিত যাবতীয় বিষয় বিবেচনাপূর্বক, Securities and Exchange Ordinance, 1969 (Ordinance No. XVII of 1969) এর Section 22 [যা The Securities and Exchange (Amendment) Act, 2000 দ্বারা সংশোধিত] এ প্রদত্ত ক্ষমতাবলে:

জেনারেশন নেক্সট ফ্যাশনস লিমিটেড এর পরিচালক জনাব ওয়াহিদ সালাম এর উপর ৫ (পাঁচ) লক্ষ টাকা জরিমানা ধার্য্য করল যা অত্র আদেশের ৩০ (ত্রিশ) দিনের মধ্যে 'সিকিউরিটিজ ও এক্সচেঞ্জ কমিশন' এর অনুকূলে ইস্যুকৃত ব্যাংক ড্রাফট/পে-অর্ডারের মাধ্যমে কমিশনে জমা করতে হবে;

বাংলাদেশ সিকিউরিটিজ অ্যান্ড এক্সচেঞ্জ কমিশনের পক্ষে-

১৪) ১১) 2.০ খোন্দকার কামালউজ্জামান

জনাব ওয়াহিদ সালাম, পরিচালক, জেনারেশন নেক্সট ফ্যাশনস লিমিটেড।